



Characterizing Waste Plastic Pyrolysis Oils using a Pyrolysis GC-MS

Application Note

Energy

Abstract

This application note demonstrates characterization of “ugly” plastic pyrolysis oils using Evolved Gas Analysis coupled with Multi-Step Pyrolysis GC-MS.

Author:

Karen Sam

Introduction

As the global production of polymers continues to rise, the demand to recycle plastic waste has increased. Besides traditional mechanical recycling, petrochemical companies are investing in chemical recycling technologies. Among various chemical recycling, techniques such as methanolysis and hydrolysis can be used to recycle PET and nylon, respectively. However, polyolefins such as polyethylene and polypropylene resist this type of depolymerization due to strong carbon-carbon bonds. For these plastics, pyrolysis as a method of chemical recycling is preferred. Superficially, the operation may seem simple: apply elevated temperatures in an inert atmosphere to break down plastics into smaller molecules. However different polyolefins decompose at different temperatures, affecting the nature and quality of the resulting pyrolysis oil. Additionally, unwanted plastics such as PET and PVC, can yield oxygenated and chlorinated compounds, contaminating the output. Therefore, the output needs to be analyzed for adjustment of conditions or post pyrolysis processing as needed¹.

The most widely applied technique to determine the composition of plastic pyrolysis oil is GC-MS². However, certain fractions of the output may be too “ugly,” containing un-volatile fractions which would remain in the GC injection port. These ugly samples would have to undergo lengthy sample preparation steps before GC analysis, and the non-volatile portion would still be left un-analyzed by GC. In these cases, evolved gas analysis (EGA) coupled with multi-step pyrolysis (MSP) GC-MS can be a viable method. Evolved Gas analysis, which will chart a sample’s gases which evolve versus temperature, is used for the temperature selection in multi-step pyrolysis (MSP). Then MSP can then be used to gain detailed chromatographic information on the types of compounds present, based on evaporation and decomposition temperatures. In this application note EGA + MSP was applied to two pyrolysis oil outputs which could not be analyzed by traditional GC methods, to examine the oil quality.



Experiment Setup

Plastic pyrolysis oils from two locations of the pyrolysis stream were evaluated. “A,” had a semi-solid consistency, “B” was a solid. 100µg of samples were added to Drop-In-Sample Chamber (DISC) tubes, each for EGA and MSP.

EGA

Pyroprobe 6150 Autosampler

Initial: 50°C
Final: 1000°C
Ramp Rate: 100°C per min
Interface: 300°C
Transfer Line: 325°C
Valve Oven: 300°C

Thermo Trace 1310 GC-MS

Column: Fused silica (1m x 0.10mm)
Carrier: He1.25mL/min 75:1 spl
Injector: 360°C
Oven: 300°C
Ion Source: 230°C
Mass Range: 35-600amu

Multi-Step Pyrolysis

Pyroprobe 6150 Autosampler

DISC:

300°C 60 sec

450°C 60 sec

520°C 60 sec

Interface: 300°C

Transfer Line: 325°C

Thermo Trace 1310 GC-MS

Column:

5% phenyl (30m x 0.25mm)

Carrier:

He 1.25mL/min, 75:1 spl

Injector: 360°C

Oven: 40°C for 2 minutes

12°C/min to 320°C

Results and Discussion

Figure 1 contains the EGA runs of both samples overlaid. The semi-solid sample (A) shows one peak of evolved gases at 290 °C, while the solid sample in green (B) shows two regions, a thermal decomposition region at 290°C, and a decomposition region at 520 °C.




Figure 1. EGA Overlay of 2 Plastic Pyrolysis Oils.

Information gathered from these EGAs was used to determine setpoint temperatures for MSP. Since A contained one region of outgassing at 290°C, a single temperature, just past the peak apex, 300 °C, was chosen for characterization.

At 300 °C, strong carbon-carbon bonds of polyolefins remain intact, so the oil product simply volatilizes to the gas chromatograph via thermal desorption. The chromatogram exhibits a series of straight alkanes from 14 carbons to 37 carbons (Figure 2). Closer inspection reveals other characteristics. For example, there is a hump in the baseline populated with tiny peaks, otherwise known as an unresolved complex mixture, which is often seen in crude oils³. Secondly, a second series of peaks, alkenes, are seen between the range of 16 carbons and 24 carbons (Figure 3).




Figure 2. A at 300 °C.




Figure 3. Close-up of A at 300°C, showing doublet peaks.

As opposed to A, the EGA of B instead contains two regions of outgassing, 290°C and 520°C. To fully characterize this oil, 3 temperatures were chosen, 300°C, 450°C, and 520°C, representing both the peaks and the valley of the EGA. Figure 4 contains a stack of 3 chromatograms, representing increasing pyrolysis temperatures, 300°C, 450°C, and 520°C from top to bottom.




Figure 4. Multi-step pyrolysis of B.

At 300 °C, the chromatogram is similar to A in that it exhibits a series of alkanes. At 450°C, the remainder of the alkanes continue to outgas. At 520 °C, pyrolysis breaks apart the non-volatile portion of the oil into smaller volatile fragments which travel to the GC-MS (Figure 5). These pyrolysates appear as a series of two peaks, alkenes, and alkanes, as a fragment of a larger hydrocarbon breaks via random scission and stabilizes by hydrogen abstraction to create an alkane, or beta-scission for an alkene.

Chlorinated and oxygenated species indicate unwanted plastics like PET and PVC in the pyrolysis recycling stream. For example, hydrochloric acid and chlorinated compounds from PVC promote pipeline corrosion⁴. Benzoic and terephthalic acids and other oxygenated aromatics from PET are also corrosive and can clog pipes⁵. When peaks from the chromatograms were searched against the NIST library, no benzoic or terephthalic acids from PET, or chlorinated species from PVC were seen, indicating the pyrolysis input was of high purity.




Figure 5. Close-up of B at 520°C.




Figure 6. Example Search Results using Chromeleon 7.2.7

Conclusion

EGA and MSP are two powerful tools in polymer identification. The first tool can quickly screen pyrolysis oils based on temperature, whereas the second tool can provide more detailed information. These two oils were proven to be a complex mixture of hydrocarbons, dominating in alkanes.

References

1. Tullo, Alexander H., "Amid controversy, industry goes all in on plastics pyrolysis", Chemical & Engineering News, Vol 10., Issue 36, October 10, 2022.
2. Beccaria, M., et. al., "Analysis of mixed plastic pyrolysis oil by comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography coupled with low- and high-resolution time-of-flight mass spectrometry with the support of soft ionization". Talanta. 252 15 January 2023, 123799. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2022.123799>.

3. M. A. Gough, M. A., Rowland, S. J. "Characterization of unresolved complex mixtures of hydrocarbons in petroleum". Nature volume 344, pages 648–650 (1990).
4. Kalargaris I, Tian G, Gu S. "The utilisation of oils produced from plastic waste at different pyrolysis temperatures in a DI diesel engine." Energy 2017;131:179–85.
5. Dhahak A., Hild G., Rouaud M., Mauviel G., Burkle-Vitzthum V. Slow pyrolysis of polyethylene terephthalate: Online monitoring of gas production and quantitative analysis of waxy products. J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis. 2019;142:104664. doi: 10.1016/j.jaap.2019.104664.